



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,338	11/09/2001	Robert B. Ford	00030CIP	3312
7590 09/08/2004				
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.				
Cabot Corporation				
Billerica Technical Center				
157 Concord Road				
Billerica, MA 01821-7001				
		EXAMINER		
		MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
		ART UNIT		
		1753		
		PAPER NUMBER		
		DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/036,338	Applicant(s) FORD ET AL.	
	Examiner Rodney G. McDonald	Art Unit 1753	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 41,43-58 and 60-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 41,43-45,47-58 and 60-62 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu (U.S. Pat. 6,042,706) in view of Inoue (U.S. Pat. 5,244,556).

Fu teach in Figure 1 **a sputtering target 10 of a "hollow configuration"** having an annular region 42 disposed substantially symmetrically about a reference line 40 that is perpendicular to the workpiece 16 and passes through the approximate center of the workpiece 16. **The annular region 42 comprises the sputtering surface 12 that provides deposition of a film onto the workpiece 16** that is more uniform than typical films sputtered from circular targets. The preferred annular sputtering surface 12 is a

frustoconical surface, most preferably forming an angle α from parallel to the workpiece surface 20 to sputter particles having trajectories that are perpendicular to the workpiece surface 20. (Column 5 lines 23-34)

The target 10 also defines a central region 44 disposed substantially symmetrically about the reference line 40. ***The central region 44 comprises an exposed surface 46, 46a that serves to receive direct deposition of particles sputtered from the annular region that have transverse trajectories.*** The exposed surface 46 may be provided by a grounded member, possibly forming a portion of the backing plate 48. Alternatively, an exposed surface 46a may be provided by a sputterable member 11 that extends over the central region 44. Although the surface 46a is potentially sputterable, it is preferred to limit the ionizing enhancing effect of a magnetron 50 to the annular region 42. (Column 5 lines 38-49)

The target 10 produces less particulate contaminants than typical targets, because the central region 44 provides a surface 46, 46a that is disposed to receive direct deposition of sputtered particles. Direct deposition is less likely to flake or fall off a surface than is backscatter deposition which is formed when scattered particles come into contact with a surface. ***Furthermore, the surface 46, 46a in the central region is preferably not sputtered in order to avoid disturbing the deposits formed thereon.*** (Column 5 lines 50-58)

The central region comprises a non-sputterable material. (Column 8 lines 56-56)

The target has been described in terms of an aluminum target, but the invention may be used in conjunction with other target materials, such as titanium. (Column 8 lines 15-18)

The backing plate comprises a material selected from aluminum and stainless steel. (Column 8 lines 45-47)

The target could have a truncated spherical profile, a bowl shaped profile, or even a non-uniform, curvilinear profile. (Column 6 lines 44-49)

The difference between Fu and the present claims is that the top portion being made from copper is not discussed.

Fu discussed above teach that the central portion to receive sputter particles can include a portion of the backing plate. (See Fu discussed above)

Inoue teach that a backing plate can be formed of copper, titanium, iron, aluminum or an alloy in which one of these metals is the chief ingredient. (Column 8 lines 6-8)

The motivation for having a backing plate formed of copper is that it allows for providing a good heat conductive backing plate with mechanical strength. (Column 8 lines 8-11)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Fu by utilizing a copper backing plate as taught by Inoue because it allows for providing a good heat conductive backing plate with mechanical strength.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 41, 43-45, 47-58 and 60-62 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 41, 43-45, 47-58 and 60-62 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach a hollow cathode target having a non-sputtering or sputter resistant top portion as claimed where the top portion is made of a valve metal material having a strong (100) texture.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-30-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the argument that there is no teaching in the art for utilizing copper as a material for the top portion of a sputtering target, it is argued that Fu teach that the non-sputtering portion of the target can be the backing plate material. Inoue suggest that the backing plate material can be aluminum or copper for good heat conductivity. Therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize copper as the backing plate material in Fu. Applicant's amendment of requiring the backing plate to be copper required the citing of Inoue in the rejection discussed above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1753

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney G. McDonald whose telephone number is 571-272-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on M- Th with Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Rodney G. McDonald
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1753

RM
September 7, 2004